Approved For Release 2003/07/29: CIA-RDP80B01676R001000200012-8

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Comment on USIA Study, "Estimate of Free World Resettor Country by Country, to Three Possible Courses of Action by the US in Guerroy-Matsu," of 6 September 1958

1. Commenting upon the findings of this study is a considerable challenge because of the detail and precision of its country-by-country judgments and the rather broad terms used in defining the study's problem. We feel that Free World reactions to the three given courses of action would depend to a considerable extent upon a number of contingencies which are not identified in the statement or the problem. These would include the context, timing, weapons and targets, and the total mode or rational of US intervention or non-intervention. Furthermore, the reactions would vary if on the one hand the US intervention were for the purpose of breaking Chinese Communist harassment of offshore island resupply operations, or, on the other, it were for the purpose of assisting in the defense of the offshore islands against a Chinese Communist effort to seize them by assault.

^{*} SNIE 190-12-58: "Probable Developments in the Taiwan Strait Crisis (28 October 1958); NIE 100-4-55: "Communist Capabilities and Intentions with Respect to the Offshore Islands and Taiwan through 1950, and Communist and Non-Communist Reactions with Respect to the October of Taiwan." (16 March 1955).

Approved For Release 2003/07/29: CIA-RDP80B01676R001000200012-8

- 2. Our principal differences with the broad conclusions stated on page 1 of the study are that:
 - a. We would anticipate less governmental approval

 (especially in Europe) than the study indicates, in the event of

 US intervention with conventional weapons to end Chinese Communist harasement of Quemey-Matsu resupply operations.
 - b. We would expect a somewhat greater sense of appreheasion among Asian governments concerning future Chinese
 Communist and US intentions, in the event that the US had decided
 not to intervene militarily in Quemoy-Matsu resupply operations.

 If the US did not intervene in the event of an attempted Chinese
 Communist invasion of the offshore islands, this apprehension
 would be increased considerably.

25X6

- d. In the event of a Chinese Communist attack on the offshores and a US decision not to intervene militarily. loss of US prestige would be markedly greater than it would be in the case of US non-intervention in the face of Chinese Communist harasement of Querney-Matsu resupply.
- 3. Aside from the comments above, we do not take major exception to the summary of reactions in the Far East (page 12). The summaries of reactions in Europe (page 2) and in the Near Rast, South Asia and Africa (page 6) do not differ greatly with the views of this office. We note an inconsistency with respect and 6). The present Lebanon government would probably condennative intervention with nuclear weapons rather than take a neutralist stand as indicated on page six.

25X6

War To

Approved For Release 2003/07/29 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001000200012-8

8 December 1958

Executive B rivery

Mr. Abbott Washburn
Deputy Director
United States Information Agency
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Washburn:

Fellowing upon my telephone call to you of a week or so ago, I forward herewith my staff's views an your paper on free world reactions to possible US courses of action in the off-shore islands situation. You may wish to transmit them to the for filing with the parent document.

25X1

Please allow me to apologise again for the eversight which has resulted in so long a delay. I hope that if the need for our assistance should arise again we can de a prompter job.

Sincerely yours,

Sherman Kent Assistant Director

attachment

(EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE TUS, 14

25X1

25X1

-	APPRETENT FORIR	खान्ध	A2008#07/29	:TOP	ARDP8	OB94676R0	01000200012-8
	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDEN			SECRET	
	CENTE	RAL IN	TELLIGENCE A	GENC	Υ		
	OFFI	CIAL	ROUTING	SI	LIP		
то	NAME AND ADDRESS		INI	TIALS	DATE		
1	JUN 11C						
2	2 Deu. Calell ¥						
3							
4	4						;
5							
6							
	ACTION DIRECT REPLY			PREPARE REPLY			
<u> </u>				ENDATION			
	COMMENT		ILE NFORMATION	RETURN SIGNATURE			
Ren	narks:						
	FOLD I	HERE T	O RETURN TO		DER	DATE	_
			I CINE I				•
	Approved For R	olooca	2002/07/2		RPP8	aslo	01000200012-8

FORM NO. 237 Replaces Form 30-4 which may be used.